



SMF2: Global model of the F2 layer peak height based on satellite data

Alexander Karpachev*, Valentin Shubin*, <u>Konstantin Tsybulya</u>**

*Institute of Terrestrial Magnetism, Ionosphere and Radio Wave Propagation (IZMIRAN), Moscow **Institute of Applied Geophysics, Moscow

International Reference Ionosphere (IRI)

Workshop 2013 "IRI and GNSS"





Olsztyn, June 24 - 28, 2013

Approach

IRI: $h_m F2 = Approximation(M3000, f_o F2/f_o E, R_z)$ SMF2: $h_m F2 = SHA(\phi, \lambda) * Fourier(t) * Corr(F_{10.7A})$

Based on a set of 149 x 24 x 12 = 42912 coefficients (for low and high activity)

Data set

2 800 000 COSMIC profiles (http://www.cosmic.ucar.edu)
100 000 GRACE profiles (http://op.gfz-potsdam.de/champ/)
300 000 CHAMP profiles (http://op.gfz-potsdam.de/champ/)
200 000 Interkosmos-19 profiles

For high solar activity ~60 Digisondes stations were added (http://ulcar.uml.edu)

Low solar activity ($F_{10.7} \le 80$)

- Mainly COSMIC, also some CHAMP and GRACE data
- GPS radio occultation data
- A form of Abel transform is used to derive profiles and $h_m F2$
- Ready-for-use profiles were downloaded

Data global distribution

Digisondes



Typical Problems with Occultation Profiles



High solar activity ($F_{10.7A} > 140$)

- Mainly Interkosmos-19 data (1979—1981)
- Top-side ionograms
- Close-to-uniform global coverage
- Restored from tapes and prints
- Manually scaled
- A variation of Jackson algorithm is used to derive profiles and $h_m F2$
- In addition some CHAMP, GRACE and Digisonde data

Typical Problems with IK-19 data

0

200

400

600

800

1000

1200

1400

1600

1800

2000

0 1

2 3

5 6 7 8

4

Ê

Virtual distance,

Strong radio signal attenuation near critical frequency fzs fos fxs 200 z 400 Ó Ĕ 600 Virtual distance, 800 1000 Earth reflections 1200 1400 1600 foF2 fxF2 1800 2000 10 11 12 13 14 15 0 2 3 4 5 6 9 16 Frequency, MHz

Strong F-spread



Ionogram with F3-layer

fxF2

12 13

14 15 16



Frequency, MHz

9 10 11



Karpachev et al., 2010, 2011, 2013, Geomagnetism and Aeronomy

Model Construction

Method of the model construction

Modeling of the spatial dependencies is based on expansion of these dependencies in series by a system of orthogonal functions [Chernyshov, Vasilyeva, 1973]. The h_mF2 dependency on latitude ϕ and longitude π in some fixed moment of time is expanded as a series:

$$F(\phi, \pi) = \sum_{m=0}^{M} \sum_{n=m}^{N} \left[g_n^m \cos m\pi + h_n^m \sin m\pi \right] \cdot P_n^m (\cos \vartheta), \tag{1}$$

where $\vartheta = 90^{\circ} - \varphi$, g_n^m and h_n^m are expansion coefficients, and $P_n^m(\cos\vartheta)$ are associated **Legendre functions**. The coefficients in (1) are determined with the least square method. The series (1) could be presented in a shorter form:

$$F(\phi, \pi) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} D_k \cdot G_k(\phi, \pi), \quad k = 0, 1, 2..., K,$$
(2)

where D_k are complex expansion coefficients and $G_k(q,n)$ are spherical harmonics. The number of the coefficients is determined as $K = m \cdot (2n - m + 1) + n + 1$. To determine coefficients in (2) we used Gram-Schmidt orthonormalising, as in [*Chernyshov, Vasilyeva*, 1973]. The approximation was considered to be optimal when the standard deviation *SD* was minimized for the given month and UT hour:

$$SD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_m F 2 - h_m F 2_{\text{mod}})^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_m F 2 - h_m F 2_{\text{mod}}) \right)^2 \right]},$$
(3)

We chose as optimal M = 8 (longitudinal) $\mu N = 12$ (latitudinal) numbers. Thus, we need 149 coefficients for one month and UT hour.

For the diurnal interpolation we have used a Fourier decomposition with 3 harmonics:

$$F(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} \left[a_i \cos(i\frac{2p}{T}t) + b_i \sin(i\frac{2p}{T}t) \right],$$
(4)

where a_i and b_i are found from $h_m F2$ diurnal dependency with period T = 24 hours with the help of Gram–Schmidt process.

Model construction

MODIP



Latitudinal variations, N=12

40

60

24

$N_m F2$ and $h_m F2$ dependence on solar activity



 $F_{10.7A}$ is daily $F_{10.7}$ averaged for 3 rotations of the Sun

 $F_{10.7p}$ (proxy) =($F_{10.7}$ + $F_{10.7A}$) / 2

Checking, Testing and Validation of the Model

SMF2 and IRI comparison: Low solar activity



Longitudinal and Latitudinal variations





Latitudinal variations: High solar activity





SMF2-IRI Comparison (June, High and Low Solar Activity)

UT, h	Ν	SMF2				IRI-2012			SMF2			IRI-2012			
		SD, km	SCAT, km	MRD %	SD, km	SCAT km	MRD %	UT h	Ν	SD km	SCAT km	MRD %	SD km	SCAT km	MRD %
00	822	11.83	11.82	3	23.96	32.76	11	04	164	21.91	21.84	5	31.34	32.54	7
01	827	11.34	11.33	3	22.97	30.25	10	05	153	22.94	22.89	5	37.53	41.14	9
02	830	10.78	10.78	3	23.04	29.49	10	06	164	19.78	19.74	4	33.35	33.74	8
03	831	13.26	13.25	3	23.14	28.90	9	07	156	21.89	21.85	5	35.50	37.46	8
04	825	11.74	11.73	3	22.23	27.90	9	08	126	17.98	18.00	4	31.72	33.45	7
05	829	12.68	12.67	3	21.59	26.84	8	09	119	18.42	18.35	4	37.18	38.18	8
06	830	12.00	11.99	3	22.80	29.00	9	10	101	19.89	19.81	4	40.03	44.58	8
07	832	11.38	11.38	3	22.74	29.84	10	11	111	16.98	16.97	4	49.25	50.90	10
08	832	11.42	11.41	3	23.44	31.39	10	12	122	20.09	20.03	4	49.90	56.95	9
09	839	10.41	10.40	3	23.53	32.12	11	13	118	19.92	19.90	4	39.13	39.83	9
10	829	11.61	11.61	3	25.64	34.55	12	14	124	17.84	17.77	4	35.83	35.72	9
11	833	11.50	11.49	3	24.75	34.67	12	15	111	19.05	19.04	5	39.44	39.39	9
12	813	12.71	12.71	3	25.51	34.59	12	16	120	25.72	25.75	7	44.87	44.69	12
13	829	11.76	11.76	3	23.96	33.19	11	17	93	21.40	21.34	5	36.44	36.27	8
14	830	9.87	9.87	3	23.99	33.20	11	18	99	25.85	25.91	5	43.26	44.55	9
15	826	10.41	10.40	3	25.53	33.00	11	19	89	21.59	21.56	5	44.00	43.90	10
16	823	10.40	10.39	3	25.46	34.11	11	20	111	17.63	17.59	4	39.08	38.91	11
17	821	12.08	12.08	3	25.60	33.72	11	21	174	19.71	19.66	5	44.42	44.30	11

Technical implementation

- ~400 lines of FORTRAN code
- Compiled for Windows and Linux OS
- Open and free for distribution (in near future)
- Command-line interface
- C++ Windows graphical interface
- Web interface (http://space-weather.ru)

Windows Graphical Interface



Conclusions

- A global median $h_m F2$ model based on the vast satellite database is created, with relative deviations (*MRD*) for all months 2–3 times less than in IRI
- The approach looks promising
- More data necessary for high solar activity
- A problem of correct validation is noted

Comparison between COSMIC and ionosondes



